

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

**CIVIL APPLICATIONS NOS.8831/2013,
5906/2013, 5908/2013, 8837/2013,
8841/2013, 5904/2013, 5910/2013,
8833/2013, 8835/2013, AND 8839/2013**

IN

**FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NOS.5627/2013,
5669/2013, 5659/2013, 5666/2013,
5687/2013,5623/2013, 5663/2013,
5679/2013, 5675/2013, 5672/2013 AND
5687/2013**

The Executive Engineer,
Beed Irrigation Division.

...Applicants..

Versus

Shaikh Habib Shaikh Maheboob
and others.

...Respondents...

.....

Shri Ruturaj C. Patil, Advocate for applicants.

Shri Ramraje A. Deshmukh, Advocate for claimants –
respondents.

Shri V.A. Dhakne, Advocate for respondent no.1 in CA
8833/13 in FAST 5679/13.

Shri P.P. More, AGP for the State.

.....

CORAM: N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE: 17.07.2015

ORDER :

- 1] Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
- 2] These are civil applications for condonation of delay moved by the acquiring body. The explanation that is tendered in support of the prayer for condonation of

- 2 -

delay is the time consumed in taking decision as regards filing of the appeals on administrative side and the professional failure of the concerned lawyer to inform the applicants about the result of the Reference Court.

3] Though the claim made in the civil applications is opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents – claimants, however, for the reasons stated in the applications and in the interest of justice, it will be appropriate to condone the delay caused in preferring present appeals.

4] Civil applications are, therefore, allowed in terms of prayer clause (A) thereof and disposed of.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)