

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.
APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

Office Memoranda of appearance, directions and orders.	Notes, of Court's orders and Registrar's	Office Coram, Court's or Judge's orders.
--	--	--

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 928 OF 2015

KAKASAHEB S/O KACHRU SHENGULE AND OTHERS
 VERSUS
 THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
 Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Rupesh Jaiswal, Advocate
 h/f Mr. Ghanekar Nilesh S.
 APP for Respondent: Mr. N. B. Patil.

CORAM: T. V. NALAWADE, J.
DATED: 11th MARCH, 2015.

PER COURT:

1. This application is filed for bail. Both the sides are heard. This Court has perused the papers of investigation.
2. The crime is registered on the basis of report given by daughter in law of the present applicant, the prosecutrix. According to her, the applicant had evil eye on her and he used to misbehave with her. In one incident dated 4th November, 2014 the applicant crossed the limits and committed acts against her modesty. She disclosed this incident to her husband and others. The husband did not believe her and on the contrary the husband and present applicant gave her severe beating. They forcibly

administered insecticide poison to her to finish her. She became unconscious and some other persons shifted her to the hospital. In view of her report dated 3rd December, 2014 the crime came to be registered for offence punishable under section 307, 354, 34 etc. of I.P.C.

3. This Court has carefully gone through the material collected against the applicant. At present the prosecutrix is living with her parents. The applicant has been behind bar since 1st February, 2015. It is not certain as to how much time will be required for disposal of the case. It is not desirable to keep the applicant behind bars till final disposal of the case.

4. In the result, the application is allowed. The applicant is to be released on bail on his furnishing P.R. and S.B. of Rs. 30,000/- with one solvent surety of the like amount.

4. He is not to tamper with the prosecution witnesses. He is not to commit similar offence. He is not to go to the village where the prosecutrix is living.

[T. V. NALAWADE, J.]

Dt.11/03/2015
ans/928