

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 2317 OF 2015

MANOHAR DHANAJI MHASKE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Vinayak M. Kagne
AGP for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 : Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar,
Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. S.G. Rudrawar.

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & A.M. BADAR,JJ.
DATE : 2ND SEPTEMBER,2015.

PER COURT:-

1] Heard.

2] Perused the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2 Education Officer, Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad. It is stated in the said affidavit in reply that the management was directed to submit a proposal so that the Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad would consider granting approval to the appointment of the petitioner w.e.f. 17.6.1986. However, no such proposal from the management was received. It is further stated in the affidavit that in case the management submits proposal seeking post facto sanction to the appointment of the petitioner, the respondent-Zilla Parishad will consider it on its own merit.

3] Counsel for the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 submits that already proposal was submitted. However, he submits that once again he will re-submit a fresh proposal within 3 weeks from today. Upon submitting such proposal within 3 weeks from today, the respondent No.2 Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad to consider the same and take final

decision within six weeks from the date of receipt of such proposal.

4] Writ petition is disposed of on above terms.

[A.M. BADAR, J]

[S.S. SHINDE, J]

grt/-