

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2628 OF 2004

Dr. Datta s/o Maruti Dhanve

Petitioner

V E R S U S

The State of Maharashtra & another

Respondents

Mr. U.R. Awate, Advocate, holding for
Mr. S.B. Talekar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. D.B. Bhange, AGP for respondents/State

**CORAM : A. V. NIRGUDE AND
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 14th September, 2015

PER COURT :

1. The petition in 2004 challenged the petitioner's transfer to Hingoli from Nanded after revoking the suspension order. The petitioner did not want to go to Hingoli but wanted to get retained at Nanded Zilla Parishad. No interim relief was granted to the petitioner. By now the petitioner must have reached the age of superannuation or now the petitioner must have transferred back to Nanded. Therefore, there is possibility that the petition is infructuous. In view of this, petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(V.K. JADHAV, J.)

(A.V. NIRGUDE, J.)

