

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONT. PETITION NO. 127 OF 2015
IN WP/7054/2007

LAXMAN DAMODAR CHAUDHARI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Talhar Ajay G.
AGP for Respondents 1 to 3: Mr. K.J. Ghute Patil
Advocate for Respondents 4 and 5: Mr. P.N. Kutti

.....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATED : 8th JULY, 2015

P.C. :-

1. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that within the time extended by the Apex Court, the respondents have complied the order and paid the amount to the petitioners.

2. Mr. Talher, the learned counsel says that there is shortfall of the amount. In the contempt petition, it is not possible for us to enter into the dispute about quantum of the amount. In case the petitioners are aggrieved on the ground that less amount is received by them, the petitioners may take up such a proceeding, as is permissible in law. The contempt stands purged. Contempt petition stands disposed of. No costs.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)