

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1979 OF 2009

SUNILDUTTA VITHALRAO KHIRADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...

Advocate for petitioner : Mr. Mukhedkar Amit A.

AGP for Respondents: Mrs. M. S Patni

Advocate for respondent No.2: Mr. V. S.Panpatte

Advocate for respondent No.3: Mr. V.G.Sakolkar

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.****DATE : 31st July, 2015****PER COURT :**

We have heard Mr. Mukhedkar, learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application of the petitioner for postal D.Ed. was rejected and the same is illegal. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the petitioner is already terminated from service. As the petitioner is already terminated, there is no question of petitioner availing benefit of postal D.Ed. In the light of that, Writ petition disposed of. No costs.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)**

JPC