

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.600 OF 2002 WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.723 OF 2015

The State of Maharashtra ... APPELLANT

VERSUS

Murlidhar Sitaram Datar ... RESPONDENT

.....
Shri D.V. Tele, A.P.P. for appellant/ State
Shri P.F. Patni, Advocate for respondent
.....

CORAM: A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.

DATED: 9th February, 2015.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the respondent. Learned counsel for respondent tendered Criminal Application No.723/2015 at the bar to recall the bailable warrant issued, as the Advocate was not well on the last occasion.

2. Learned counsel for respondent agrees that the bailable warrant has already been executed. Regarding the notice which was issued on 12th January 2015 as to why bail bonds should not be forfeited, the learned counsel, after consulting the respondent, submits that, after the appeal has

been admitted, action under Section 390 of the Code of Criminal Procedure was not taken and so, bail bonds in the trial Court have not been submitted.

3. Action under Section 390 of the Code of Criminal Procedure be now taken against the respondent - accused before the Special Judge, Parbhani. The learned counsel for respondent makes a request, and accordingly it is directed that the respondent should appear before the Special Judge, Parbhani on 23rd February 2015 for compliance of action under Section 390 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The Special Judge, Parbhani is requested to accept the P.R.B. and S.B. in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) from the respondent - accused (Murlidhar Sitaram Datar) and brief report of compliance be sent to this Court.

4. Criminal Appeal be listed on 2nd March 2015.

5. Criminal Application No.723/2015 submitted at the bar stands disposed of.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)