

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

REVIEW APPLICATION ST. NO.3828 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.4413 OF 1999

The State of Maharashtra,
Through the Secretary, Higher and
Technical Education Department,
Maharashtra State.

...APPLICANT

(Ori. Respondent No.1)

VERSUS

- 1) Chatrapati Shikshan And Arogya
Prasarak Mandal, Kharda,
At Kharda, Tq-Jamkhed,
Dist-Ahmednagar,
through its Secretary

(Ori. Petitioner)

- 2) Pune University, Pune,
Through its Registrar.

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. K.J. Ghute Patil, A.G.P. for Applicant.
Mr. Dhananjay Deshpande Advocate for
Respondent No.1.

...

**CORAM: A.V. NIRGUDE AND
 A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

DATE : 11TH MARCH, 2015

ORDER :

1. Heard learned A.G.P. for Applicant and
learned counsel for Respondent No.1.

2. This Application seeks review of the
order we passed on 26th August 2014 in Writ
Petition No.4413 of 1999.

3. The learned A.G.P. stated that while
passing the order dated 26th August 2014, we did
not take into account reply dated 11th August 2014
and letter dated 8th August 2014.

4. Unfortunately, even after perusal of
above two documents, we find that the State of
Maharashtra did not say anything about the
allegations made against them about which the
Court had directed them to file reply. What was

alleged then was discriminatory treatment given to the original Petitioner as against other college of the same area to which permission was granted on 14th March 2001. The State of Maharashtra, despite opportunity, did not mention as to why permission was granted for opening new college on 14th March 2001 to another education society with condition that effort will be made to bring that College under grant-in-aid policy and why such permission did not amount to discrimination. In view of this, there is no reason to entertain the Review Application. Review Application is rejected.

[A.I.S.CHEEMA, J.]

asb/MAR15

[A.V. NIRGUDE, J.]