

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

42 WRIT PETITION NO. 2264 OF 2015

SUNIL PRABHAKARRAO NAKHATE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Thombre Bhagwan V.
AGP for Respondents No.1 to 3: Mrs. M.A. Deshpande
...

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: July 22, 2015

...

PER COURT :-

1 The petitioner is praying for issuance of directions to the respondents to grant compensation, on account of loss suffered due to heavy rains/hailstorm, between 22nd February to March, 2014.

2 An affidavit in reply has been presented, on behalf of respondent No.3, by the Nayab Tahsildar, Killedharur. It is recorded in the affidavit in reply that, out of 130 names from village Awargaon, only three are held to be eligible, since the loss sustained by them, was to the tune of more than 50%. As per the parameters laid down, by the State Government, an agriculturist who sustained loss more than 50%, is entitled to be compensated. In the instant matter, since the petitioner is found not eligible to receive the damages, under the Policy framed by the Government, a decision has been taken, which according to us, need not be interfered. The allegations made by the petitioner, in respect of political interference in the decision making process, has been specifically denied by the respondents.

3 Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under article 226 of the Constitution of India is not called for. Petition is devoid of substance. Hence stands disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)