

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3003 OF 2011

Prabhakar s/o Dattoba Rakh

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1640 OF 2015**

Sakharam Bajirao Shinde

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1641 OF 2015**

Bhanudas Sadhu Jagtap
& another

.. PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1642 OF 2015**

Seetaram Natha Gaikwad

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1643 OF 2015**

Babasaheb Govind Wanve

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1652 OF 2015**

Vitthal Pandu Payal
& others

.. PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1465 OF 2015**

Narayan Kisan Rakh
& others

.. PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1466 OF 2015**

Kaduba Nivrutti Gaikwad

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.D. Bachate, advocate for petitioner.
Mrs. A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for the State.

=====

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
P. R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE : 11th FEBRUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

1. Petitioners, for claiming their entitlement to receive freedom fighter's pension, have placed reliance on the order passed by the Apex Court in Civil Appeal Nos. 10624-10636/2013 decided on 25-11-2013. The Apex Court, while delivering the order, has observed that the appeals are decided purely on facts and circumstances of the case and those shall not be treated as precedent in any other case. In the matters raising identical grievance

being Writ Petition No. 2384/2011 and other companion matters, the Division Bench of this Court declined to cause interference and dismissed the petitions by order dated 17.11.2014.

2. For the reasons recorded while disposing of writ petitions by Division Bench in above referred matters, these petitions also do not deserve favourable consideration. Writ petitions are dismissed. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

(P. R. BORA)
JUDGE

(R. M. BORDE)
JUDGE

dyb