

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6296 OF 2014 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2965 OF 2004

Vinod Bapu Dondave and ors.

.. Applicants

Versus

The Chief Officer, Shahada
Municipal Council, District
Nandurbar

.. Respondent

Mr P.S. Patil, Advocate for applicants

Mr S.M. Godsay, Advocate for respondent

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 13th April 2015

PER COURT

1. While hearing the present petition, this Court had recorded the statement made by learned Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner - Municipal Council, Shahada will consider to appoint the applicants/respondents-employees on some of the posts sanctioned by the Director, Municipal Administration, Mumbai.

2. Mr Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant in the background of above submits that the present applicants are in queue waiting for such appointments since their claim was allowed by the Industrial Court, Jalgaon. He submits that there are two posts of Safai Kamgar vacant with the petitioner - Municipal Council, Shahada.

3. Mr Godsay, learned Counsel for petitioner/non-applicant - Municipal Council submits that the statement made still holds the field, as according to him, the earlier proposal submitted by the petitioner - Municipal Council was turned down by the Director, Municipal

Administration, Mumbai. He would urge that the appointment, if any on the vacant post will be made in accordance with the law, of course by keeping in mind the statement recorded by this Court on 18th January 2005.

4. In view of above, as and when the vacant posts which are approved by the Director, Municipal Administration are filled in by the petitioner-Municipal Council, Shahada, the petitioner-Municipal Council will consider the claim of the applicants-employees on priority in the light of the recruitment rules and the law prevailing.

5. Civil Application stands disposed of in above terms.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)

vvr