

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 869 OF 2004

JAGDISH KISANRAO CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. V. G. Mete
AGP for the respondent no.1 : Mr. D.V. Tele.
Advocate for respondent no.2 : Mr. H. K. Mundhe.
Advocate for respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. R.S. Deshmukh.

CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE & A.M. BADAR, JJ.
DATE : 16th JULY, 2015.

PER COURT:

1] Heard.

2] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that his client has received what he expected from the respondent and for which this petition was filed. The purpose of this petition is achieved. Therefore, he does not want to proceed with the petition. Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged.

[A.M. BADAR]
JUDGE

[A.V. NIRGUDE]
JUDGE.

grt/-