

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.
APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

Office Memoranda of appearance, directions and orders.	Notes, of Court's orders and Registrar's	Office Coram,	Court's or Judge's orders.
--	--	---------------	----------------------------

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2015

SIKANDAR S/O ISMAIL SHAIKH AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Syed G. R.
APP for Respondent: Mr. N. B. Patil.

CORAM: T. V. NALAWADE, J.
DATED: 17th FEBRUARY, 2015.

PER COURT:

1. This application is filed for bail. Both the sides are heard. This Court has perused the papers of investigation.
2. Statement was made by learned counsel for the Applicant No.2 Shaikh Ismail that after the expiry of the period of temporary bail, he has surrendered on 14th February, 2015 and he has been behind bars.
3. The crime is registered on the basis of report given by one Dewanand Damane. According to him, Sikandar owes Rs.1,500/- to him. Incident took place on 10th January, 2015. According to the complainant, when he demanded the amount from Sikandar, Sikandar picked up quarrel.

According to him, after starting of the quarrel, father of Sikandar and brother of Sikandar came there and then Sikandar gave blow of axe from blunt side on his head and gave threats of life.

4. This Court has seen the injury certificate. It shows that he has sustained C.L.W. on head and occipital region and the injury is described as simple.

5. The Applicants are behind bars since 14th January, 2015 in the crime registered for offence under section 326, 34 of I.P.C. In view of the nature of material collected against the applicants and aforesaid circumstances, this Court holds that it is not desirable to keep the applicants behind bars till the disposal of the case.

6. In the result, the application is allowed. The applicants are to be released on bail on their furnishing P.R. and S.B. of Rs. 15,000/- by each of them.

7. They are not to tamper with the prosecution witnesses. They are not to commit similar offence. They are not to go to the vicinity of the residential place of the complainant till the disposal of the case.

[T. V. NALAWADE, J.]

Dt.17/02/2015
ans/540