

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 151 OF 2015

VASANT S/O BHAGWAT PAPINWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar.
APP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.G. Nandedkar

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & A.M. BADAR, JJ.
DATE : 9th MARCH, 2015.

PER COURT:

1] Heard.

2] It appears that though the Superintendent of Police, Nanded was directed to look into the application filed by the petitioner, however, said application was entrusted to S.D.P.O. for enquiry and decision. In our opinion, the Superintendent of Police, himself should have decided the application in the light of the judgment of the Apex Court in the matter of *Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. reported in 2014(2) SCC page 1.*

3] In the light of above, the petition is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (C) and (D). Same is disposed of with direction to the Superintendent of Police, to decide the application of the petitioner afresh in the light of the judgment cited supra, within 4 weeks from today.

[A.M. BADAR]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE.

grt/-