

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH
AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1822 OF 2002

Vithalrao S/o Trimbakrao Patil and others.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Amol S. Gandhi, Advocate h/f Shri P. V. Mandlik, Senior Advocate
for Petitioners.

Shri G. K. Thigle, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

DATE : 20TH MARCH, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Gandhi, the learned counsel for petitioners states that, the
license period of the petitioners has come to an end.

2. Even otherwise the petitioners were challenging the circular
issued by the respondent by which, the contractors like the petitioners
were directed to renew/reverify their certificate of registration again
by complying with various conditions. As the license period itself has
come to an end, nothing would survive in the writ petition. The writ
petition as such is disposed of. Rule discharged. No costs.

[A. I. S. CHEEMA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]