

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1908 OF 2003

Santosh Bhagwatrao Nikam and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri U. R. Awate, Advocate h/f Shri S. B. Talekar, Advocate for
Petitioners.

Shri S. G. Sangle, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri Deelip Bankar Patil, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

DATE : 20TH MARCH, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Heard Mr. Awate, the learned counsel for petitioners and
Mr. Bankar Patil the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 5.
The petition is filed challenging advertisement dated 20.03.2003
inviting applications for 749 posts of Shikshan Sevaks.

2. This Court in the year 2003 itself vacated the interim relief
earlier granted to petitioners. Subsequently selection process
must have been undertaken by now. It is not the case that, the
petitioners had participated in the selection process were
selected and their names appeared in the select list.

3. In the light of the above and further in view of the fact that, as per the Right to Education Act, the parameters have also undergone change. The relief claimed cannot be considered. The writ petition as such is disposed of. In case petitioners, are otherwise eligible and any fresh advertisements are issued, needless to state the petitioners would be entitled to apply, if they fulfill the eligibility criteria. Rule discharged. No costs.

[A. I. S. CHEEMA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/March 15