

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

FIRST APPEAL NO.361/2000

Murlidhar Daulatram Milani
and another.

...Appellants..

Versus

Sau.Maya Vinod Bhoer & others.

...Respondents...

.....

Shri S.S. Wagh, Advocate h/f Shri S.T. Shelke, Advocate
for appellants.

Smt.Mapari, Advocate h/f Shri P.R. Katneshwarkar,
Advocate for respondent no.3.

Shri L.V. Sangit, Advocate for respondent nos.4 & 5.

Respondent no.6 served.

.....

CORAM: N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE: 24.07.2015

ORDER :

1] Learned counsel for the appellants Shri S.T. Shelke
alongwith the Purshis for withdrawal of appearance has
tendered a communication issued to the appellants
intimating about withdrawal of his power.

2] The appellants in response to the said notice have
not taken any steps. The appeal is fixed for final

- 2 -

hearing.

3] Appearance of learned counsel Shri S.T. Shelke is discharged.

4] In view of the fact that none appears for the appellants, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution as none appears for the appellants in spite of notice issued by the Advocate for the appellants to the appellants.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)