

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2224 OF 2015
IN FA/1952/2014

NIRMALABAI ASHOK PATIL
VERSUS
MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION AND
OTHERS

--

Mr.L.S.Mahajan, advocate for applicant

Mr.Mr.D.S.Bagul, advocate for respondent no.1

Mr.B.K.Gaikwad, advocate for respondent no.2

Mr.V.B.Jagtap, advocate for respondent no.5

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : FEBRUARY 24, 2015

PER COURT :

Heard both sides.

2] Considering the fact that learned Member appears to have committed a clerical mistake in mentioning the number of respondents in his final order, while in the reasonings, present applicants are absolved by learned Member in payment of

compensation, the present application for withdrawal of the amount cannot be allowed. The same is, therefore, rejected.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11121 OF 2014
IN FA/1952/2014

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
AND OTHERS
VERSUS
NIRMALABAI ASHOK PATIL

--

Mr.Mr.D.S.Bagul, advocate for applicants

Mr.L.S.Mahajan, advocate for respondent no.1

Mr.B.K.Gaikwad, advocate for respondent no.2

Mr.V.B.Jagtap, advocate for respondent no.5

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : FEBRUARY 24, 2015

PER COURT :

Heard both sides.

2] It is an admitted fact that the amount, as directed earlier by this Court, is now deposited.

3] In the circumstances, the interim stay to the execution of the impugned award granted earlier is

hereby made absolute on the same terms and conditions. The application is allowed and disposed of.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
FIRST APPEAL NO.1952 OF 2014

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
AND OTHERS
VERSUS
NIRMALABAI ASHOK PATIL

--

Mr.Mr.D.S.Bagul, advocate for appellants

Mr.L.S.Mahajan, advocate for respondent no.1

Mr.B.K.Gaikwad, advocate for respondent no.2

Mr.V.B.Jagtap, advocate for respondent no.5

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 24, 2015

PER COURT :

Heard both sides.

2] **Admit.** Learned counsel waives notice for the
respective respondents.

3] Printing of the paper book is dispensed with.

4] It is clarified that in case, any application for review is filed by any of the parties in the Tribunal, the same shall be decided on its own merits by learned Member, without adverting to the fact that present appeal is pending.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp

34-ca11121-14 and ors.odt