

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1698 OF 2002  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5152 OF 2002

Jayant Ramdas Sonwane and others ...Petitioners

versus

The Joint Director, Higher Education, Jalgaon  
and others ...Respondents

.....  
Mr. V.J. Dixit, senior advocate for the petitioners  
Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, AGP for the respondent Nos. 1,2, 4 and 5.  
Mr. A. B. Girase, Advocate for the respondent No.3

.....

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1702 OF 2002  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5153 OF 2002

Shashikant Wamanrao Kakde and others ...Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ...Respondents

.....  
Mr. S.R. Barlinge, advocate for the petitioners  
Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, AGP for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.  
Mr. A.B. Girase, Advocate for the respondent No.4

.....

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1703 OF 2002  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5151 OF 2002

Mahesh Manohar Manekar and others ...Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ...Respondents

.....  
Mr. N.B. Suryawanshi, advocate for the petitioners  
Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, AGP for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5.  
Mr. A.B. Girase, Advocate for the respondent No.6

.....

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1704 OF 2002  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5154 OF 2002

Anilkumar Jagannath Lohar ...Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ...Respondents

.....  
Mr. V.D. Hon, advocate for the petitioner  
Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, AGP for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.  
Mr. A.B. Girase, Advocate for the respondent No.4

.....

**CORAM : A. V. NIRGUDE AND  
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

**DATED : 7<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2015**

**P.C. :-**

1. All these petitions are filed by 76 employees of North Maharashtra University, Jalgaon, which is added as respondent in these petitions. The petitions were filed because at that time, the services of the petitioners were discontinued, as there was no

approval from the Government for payment of salary etc. However, during pendency of these petitions, the Government has sanctioned the posts, which are occupied by the petitioners. Even at the interim stage, the petitioners were protected.

2. Since now everything is regularized, the petitioners do not want to prosecute these petitions further, as the petitions are now rendered infructuous in view of the subsequent development, which took place during pendency of these petitions. In the light of above circumstances, petitions are disposed of. Rule made absolute in the above terms.

**( V. K. JADHAV, J.)**

**( A. V. NIRGUDE, J. )**

rlj/