

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

7 WRIT PETITION NO. 2050 OF 2015

JAGANNATH NIVRUTTI BHUSARE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr.Bagal Suraj R.
AGP for Respondent State: Mr.N.B.Patil

...
CORAM : R. M. BORDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.
Dated: October 07, 2015

...
PER COURT :-

1. Since the petitioner raises disputed questions of facts, no interference is called for. An affidavit in reply has been presented on behalf of the State Government wherein it has been recorded that on making an inspection, no damage was noticed to have been caused to the standing crops and, as such, the entitlement of the petitioner has been denied. The petitioners contend that, in fact, there was widespread damage and the State Government is responsible to provide the aid. The petitioners, no doubt, are seeking aid from the State Government, and as a matter of right, there is no entitlement to the petitioner to claim the damages since there exists no legal right to the petitioner to claim Writ of Mandamus, and further that the petitioner is raising disputed questions of facts.

We do not propose to entertain the writ petition. The petition is devoid of substance and stands rejected.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)

...

agp/-