

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3632 OF 2003

Kinetic Engineering Ltd.		Petitioner
VS		
Balu s/o Sahadu Thombe		Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3424 OF 2004

Balu s/o Sahadu Thombe		Petitioner
VS		
Kinetic Engineering Ltd.		Respondents

Mr. B.S. Chondhekar, Advocate h/f V. S. Bedre, Advocate for petitioner in writ petition no. 3632 of 2003 and respondent in writ petition no. 3424 of 2004

Mr. Amol D. Shinde, Advocate h/f T.K. Prabhakaran, Advocate for respondent in writ petition no. 3632 of 2003 and petitioner in writ petition no. 3424 OF 2004

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
28TH AUGUST, 2015

ORDER:

1. The counsel for the parties tender across the consent terms and request that writ petitions be disposed of in terms of said consent terms. The consent terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for the purpose of identification.

2. Learned counsel state that the compromise arrived at is not in contravention of any public policy and that it is beneficial to the parties. Learned counsel identify their respective clients and further state that the parties have agreed to the consent terms arrived at between them.

3. In view of aforesaid, writ petitions stand disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

pnd