

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1800 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3105 OF 2014**

Trivenibai W/o. Pandurang Tat,
Age: Major, Occu: Household
R/o Appegao, Tal. Kaij,
District Beed & ors.

...Applicants

versus

Arjun S/o Bhagwat Shinde,
Age: 59 years, Occu: Agri.,
R/o Easthal, Tq. Kaij,
District Beed & ors.

...Respondents

.....
Mr. B. R. Kedar, Advocate for applicants.
.....

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 11TH MARCH, 2015

ORAL ORDER :

. Mr. Kedar, learned Counsel for the applicants made a categorical statement that unserved respondent No. 6 was tried to be served on the registered address given in the suit, however, said attempt was unsuccessful, as it is reported that respondent No. 6 was not residing on that address. He further claimed that respondent No.6 is plaintiff in the suit and attempt to serve her on the address given is unsuccessful.

2. In view of above, he seeks permission to serve the respondent No. 6 by alternate mode of service.

3. He has also invited my attention to the earlier attempt made for effecting service on respondent No. 6.

4. In view of above, civil application stands granted in terms of prayer clause (B).

[N.W. SAMBRE, J.]