

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1193 OF 2015

Mangal Dattatray Alne .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Shri S. A. Nagarsoge, Advocate for the Petitioner

Shri S. K. Tambe, A. G. P. for the Respondent State

Shri P. D. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3 to 5

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 02ND FEBRUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

- 1) Leave to delete respondent No. 6 granted.
- 2) Mr. Nagarsoge the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the respondents are not allowing the petitioner to work as Angnwadi Sevika. The petitioner has given representation (**Exh. E**) the same is also not considered. The petitioner is required to be continued as Angnwadi Sevika.

3) We have heard the learned A. G. P. and also the learned counsel for the respondent No. 3 to 5.

4) In view of the fact that the representation is pending we pass the following order -

ORDER

The respondent No. 5 shall decide the representation given by the petitioner (**Exh. E**) on its own merits as per the policy expeditiously, preferably within six (6) months.

5) It is made clear that, we have not considered the merits of the contentions of the either parties. Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]