

REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 96 OF 2012
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 309 OF 2003

Vs.

Ramkrishna S/o Nandlal Lahoti
and others .. Respondents

None for the petitioner
Ms. Vanita Sangole, Advocate i/b. Mrs. M.A. Kulkarni,
Advocate for respondent no. 1
Mr. G.R. Syed, Advocate for the respondent no. 2 to 10
None present for respondent nos. 11 and 12, though served
Respondent Nos. 13 and 14 dismissed as per Courts order
dated 13.06.2012

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the petitioner.

2. The earlier order dated 3rd July, 2015 would show that nobody has appeared on that day also. It is also noted that the review application was already dismissed as against some of the respondents.

3. Mr. G.R. Syed, learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 to 10 submits that in view of the

judgment delivered in the Civil Revision Application, which is sought to be reviewed, the possession is already taken by the respondents.

4. In the absence of the respondent nos. 13 and 14, regarding whom already the review application is dismissed, the review application cannot proceed.

5. The Review Application is therefore dismissed-in-default.

[M.T. JOSHI]
JUDGE

arp/