

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1116 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3225 OF 2002**

Trimbak Bhanudas Deobone .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri A. S. Golegaonkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. S. D. Shelke, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1, 3 to 5.
Shri Pravin S. Patil, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 30TH JANUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Heard Mr. Golegaonkar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Patil, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2/Committee. According to the learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant could lay his hands on the documents sought to be produced only recently as the applicant was not aware of the same earlier.

2. By present application only production of documents is sought. The respondents will have every opportunity to

controvert said documents on all counts. In the light of that, the civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause "B". The civil application is disposed of.

[V. L ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Jan. 15