

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 616 OF 2015

Ashok S/o Sampatlal Sharma,
Age : 55 Years, Occu. : Pensioner,
R/o C/o V. S. Sharma, 1203,
Sainath Garden, Near Tata Colony,
Mulund (East) Mumbai. .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Finance Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.
2. The Director,
Technical Education for
Maharashtra State, Mumbai.
3. The Accountant General (A & E) - II,
Maharashtra Nagpur,
Tq. & Dist. Nagpur. .. Respondents

Shri N. D. Kendre, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 56 OF 2015**

Avinash S/o Ganeshrao More,
Age : 54 Years, Occu. : Pensioner,
R/o Dr. Chandrabhanu Sonwane
Junior College, Sitaram Nagar,
Latur, Tq. & Dist. Latur. .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Finance Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.
2. The Accountant General - II (A & E),
Pension Wing Old Building,
In front of Ravi Bhavan, Nagpur.
3. The Deputy Director (Education),
Latur, Dist. Latur. .. Respondents

Shri N. D. Kendre, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 442 OF 2015

1. Bhausahab S/o Ganpatrao Shinde,
Age : 64 Years, Occu. : Pensioner,
R/o Gharani, Tq. Chakur,
Dist. Latur.
2. Sopan S/o Kondiba Kaname,
Age : 64 Years, Occu. : Pensioner,
R/o Gharola, Tq. Chakur,
Dist. Latur. .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Finance Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.

2. The Accountant General - II (A & E),
Pension Wing Old Building,
In front of Ravi Bhavan, Nagpur.
3. The Deputy Director (Education),
Latur, Dist. Latur. .. Respondents

Shri N. D. Kendre, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 19TH JANUARY, 2015.

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.) :-

. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. The learned A. G. P. waives notice of rule. With the consent of parties taken up for final hearing.

2. Mr. Kendre, the learned counsel submits that, the petitioners in all these writ petitions have retired between 01st January, 2006 to 26th February, 2009 and as per the judgment of this Court dated 09th May, 2014 in Writ Petition No. 8985 of 2011 with other connected writ petitions, the cut off date has been held to be erroneous and the benefit of the Government Resolution dated 30th October, 2009 has also been granted to those persons who have retired between 01.01.2006 to 26.02.2009.

3. The learned counsel further submits that, even the review

filed by the State of the said judgment is rejected.

4. We have heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader also.

5. The issue involved in present writ petitions is no longer *res-integra* in view of the judgment dated 09th May, 2014 in Writ Petition No. 8985 of 2011 with other connected writ petitions. Those persons who have retired in between 01.01.2006 to 26.02.2009 will also be entitled for the benefit of Government Resolution dated 30th October, 2009 with regard to the revised pension.

6. In the light of the above, we pass the following order.

7. The respondents shall consider the case of petitioners for the payment of revised pension in terms of Government Resolution dated 30th October, 2009 after satisfying itself about the eligibility of the petitioners expeditiously and preferably within a period of four (4) months from today. The petitioners may also comply with the formalities required for processing revised pension papers.

Rule accordingly is made absolute in above terms.

Sd/-

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

Sd/-

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]