

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.
APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

CONT. PETITION NO. 22 OF 2015
IN CA/13102/2013

SHRI SWAMI SAMARTH APANG SEVA MANDAL,
SOLAPUR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Salunke V.D.
AGP for Respondents: Mrs.S.A.Dhumal.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr.Ghute Patil.

...

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA and**
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.

DATE : 09th March, 2015.

P.C.:

. Heard Mr.Salunke, learned counsel for the Petitioners.

2 Mr.Ghute Patil, learned counsel for Respondent No.2 states that the order pursuant to which the present contempt petition is filed, has been withdrawn by Respondent No.2. The said order was passed due to misreading of the communication issued by the Registry of this Court. Same was *bonafide* and not deliberate.

3 The learned counsel for the Petitioners states that in that case the Petitioners be awarded costs. The explanation is given that the said order was passed due to misrepresentation of the communication issued by the Registry of this Court. It has also been stated that the same was unintentional. Respondent No.2 has tendered unconditional apology for the same. The said apology is accepted.

4 In light of that, the contempt stands purged. The contempt petition is disposed of. No costs.

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

ndm