

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4513 OF 2013 IN FAST/778/2013
WITH
CA/4514/2013 IN FAST/720/2013
WITH
CA/4515/2013 IN FAST/775/2013
WITH
CA/4516/2013 IN FAST/780/2013
WITH
CA/2925/2013 IN FAST/2463/2013

JOTMAL HARI TAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

.....

Mr A. B. Kale, Advocate for the applicants
Mr S. M. Jadhav, AGP for respondents No. 1 and 2
Mr S. D. Dhongade, Advocate for respondent No. 3

.....

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.**
DATE : **11TH SEPTEMBER, 2015.**

PER COURT:

- . These are applications for condonation of delay.
2. Heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.
3. The reasons given by the applicant are not controverted by respondents by filing affidavit.
4. The applicant has also shown *bona fide* by filing undertaking to the effect that he will not claim statutory benefits u/s 34

and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, for the delayed period.

5. In light of the above, the present applications are allowed.
Delay is condoned. Civil Applications stand disposed of.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

sgp