

kps

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4146 OF 1994

VISHWANTH TRIMBAKRAO LAHANE.

VERSUS

THE MEMBER SECRETARY AURANGABAD. AND OTHERS.

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Shri H.B.Nandagawale h/f Shri V.G.Sakolkar.

Advocate for Respondents : Shri V V Bhavthankar for R/2.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 10th September, 2015

Per Court:

1 Shri Bhavthankar, learned Advocate, has categorically made a statement on behalf of Respondent No.2 that the Petitioner has already been regularized in service and the cause of action in this petition does not survive. Considering the statement made, I had recorded the same in the order dated 11.06.2015 as under:-

- “1. *Mr.Bhavthankar, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 submits that during the pendency of this petition, the petitioner has acquired the requisite qualification and is presently regularized in employment and working as City Civil Engineer with respondent No.2. He, therefore, submits that the cause of action put forth in this petition may not survive.*
2. *None for the Petitioner. Stand over to 17.06.2015*

for further consideration. Matter to appear on the supplementary board.”

2 Shri Nandagawale, learned Advocate for the Petitioner, submits that the statement of Respondent No.2 may be recorded and this petition may be disposed of.

3 In the light of the above, by recording the statement made by Shri Bhavthankar on instructions, this Writ Petition is disposed of as being infructuous. Rule is discharged.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)