

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 397 OF 2015

Namdeo Govind Khandgave .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri S. V. Jadhav, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri K. G. Patil, Addl.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 13TH JANUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Heard.

2. The proposal tendered by the petitioner seeking permission to operate secondary school in the year 2008 has not been considered. As such, the petitioner is seeking directions to the respondents for consideration of the said proposal. The proposal tendered by the petitioner in the year 2008 need not be directed to be considered in view of change in policy and implementation of the provisions of Right to Education Act.

3. It would be open for the petitioner to tender fresh proposal as and when respondent authority issues an advertisement and

invites applications in that regard. If the petitioner tenders application in response to an advertisement or an invitation by the respondents for operating secondary school, the same shall be considered at appropriate stage in accordance with the provisions of law. With these directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[V. L ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Jan. 15