

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGBAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1065 OF 2014

SANDEEP BHAUSAHEB OHAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Bhapkar S.L.
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. V.H. Dighe
Advocate for Respondent nos. 2 and 3: Mr. Navandar Manish N.

...
CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, JJ.
Dated: April 21, 2015

...
PER COURT :-

Heard.

2. The petitioner at the relevant time did not possess the certificate issued by the I.T.I. The reliance placed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner on the certificate dated 16th February, 2007 at page 45 cannot be said to be compliance.

3. In that view of the matter, we do not see any reason to interfere in the impugned order/communication. In that view of the matter, the Petition is rejected.

(**RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)**

(**S.S. SHINDE, J.)**

...