

kps

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 27 OF 1995

VIJAYAKUMAR NIVRUTI DHINGANE.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & OTHES.

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr.C.V.Thombre h/f Mr.A.R.Rathod.
AGP for Respondent No.1 : Smt.Y.M.Kshirsagar.
...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
DATE :- 23rd July, 2015

Per Court:

1 Shri C.V.Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R.Rathod, Advocate for the Petitioner, places on record the decision taken by the Government and followed by the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad dated 30.07.2004 (two pages). The same is taken on record and marked as Exhibit "X" for identification.

2 Shri Thombre points out from Sr.No.22 on page 2 of Exhibit X that the Petitioner was absorbed in service and thereafter, has superannuated. The cause of action, therefore, does not survive.

3 In the light of the statement made and in the light of Exhibit X, this Writ Petition is disposed of as being infructuous. Rule is discharged.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)