

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**M.Cr.C.No.4893 of 2015**

Manju Tandon wife of Satish Kumar Tandon, aged about 28 years, resident of Village-Lawan, Police Station-Kasdol, Tahsil and District-Balodabazar, at present resident of House of Sharma Guruji, Dhimrapur, Tahsil and District-Raigarh (CG)

---Applicant

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through : Station House Officer, Police Station-Kotwali, Raigarh, District Raigarh (CG)

---Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Varunendra Mishra, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Mr. Neeraj Pradhan, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****16/09/2015**

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.393/2015, registered at Police Station-Kotwali, Raigarh District-Raigarh (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 379, 381 and 120B/34 of the IPC.
2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the applicant along with her husband stolen Rs.1,07,00,000/-, which was kept in the vehicle for replenishment in ATMs of different banks .
3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has not committed any offence and she has falsely been implicated in crime in question. He would further submit that the applicant is in jail since 12.5.2015 and charge-sheet has already been filed, and therefore, she may be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case; further taking into consideration the nature and gravity of offence; the manner in which the applicant and her husband stolen Rs.1,07,00,000/- kept in the vehicle for replenishment in ATMs of different banks and consequent recovery from the applicant, this Court is of the opinion that present is not a fit case, in which, the applicant should be enlarged on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is rejected.

8. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE

B/-