

15509/-

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 81 OF 2015

PETITIONERS :

1. Dr. Vimal Tripathi, aged about 45 years, son of Swatantranarayan Tripathi, resident of Sadar Bazar, Ward Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
2. Amit Sharma, aged about 34 years, son of Lakhan Lal Sharma, resident of Subhash Ward Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
3. Santosh Sharma, aged about 35 years, son of Sitaram Sharma, resident of Sanjay Ward Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Deepak Kumar Jain, aged about 49 years, son of Gulab Chand Jain, resident of Matadewala Ward Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. Vijay Kumar Gupta, aged about 49 years, son of Late Ramdas Gupta, resident of Gandhi Mandir Ward Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara Chhattisgarh



VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary, Department
of School Education Mahanadi

2

- ✓ Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. ✓ Director, Department of School Education Mahanadi Bhawan Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 3. ✓ Chairman, Central Board of Secondary Education, Shiksha Kendra 2, Community Centre Preet Vihar Delhi
4. Block Education Officer Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. ✓ District Education Officer, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 6. ✓ Principal, Gurukul School Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
- ✓ 7. ✓ President, Gurukul School Management Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



XI-11C-22

उच्च न्यायालय, उत्तराखण्ड, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मासला क्रमांक MR. Ce 81/15 सं. 20

विवरण

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मासालों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अतिरिक्त आदेश
	<u>23/12/15</u> None for the petitioners even in the second round. Shri Adhinesh Swana, Adv. for the State Shri Adhyas Khare, Adv. for respondent No. 3. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.	<u>24.21</u> Note 1. 2 3
	Sd/- Pritinker Diwakar Judge	

16