

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, AT – BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [C] NO. 4¹⁰⁴ OF 2015

PETITIONER

GYANESHWARI SINGH,

AGED - 38 YEARS,

WIFE OF SHRI MADHUSUDAN SINGH

R/O VILLAGE – LOTLOTA, P. O. – GOPALPUR,

P. S. & TEHSIL – KATGHORA,

DISTRICT – KORBA [C. G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

: 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

THROUGH – ITS SECRETARY,

DEPARTMENT OF SCHEDULE TRIBE & SCHEDULE

CASTE DEVELOPMENT, MANTRALAYA

MAHANADI BHAWAN, NAYA RAIPUR

2. THE SCHEDULE TRIBE STATE LEVEL CASTE

VERIFICATION AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE,

CHHATTISGARH,

H. Q. – PANDIT RAVISHNAKAR SHUKLA

UNIVERSITY CAMPUS,

RAIPUR [CHHATTISGARH]

3. THE COLLECTOR,

DISTRICT – KORBA [C. G.]


4. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,

DISTRICT – KORBA [C. G.]

5. THE SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER [REVENUE]

SUB DIVISION, KATGHORA,

DISTRICT – KORBA [C. G.]



Rharun...

over

(2)

6. **SHRI KESHAR PRASAD BHARIYA,**
AGED – 35 YEARS,
SON OF SHRI KANHAIYA LAL BHARIYA,
PRESENTLY SARPANCH, GRAM PANCHAYAT,
NAVAGAONKALA, BLOCK – KATGHORA,
R/O VILLAGE – LOTLOTA,
P. S. & TEHSIL – KATGHORA,
DISTRICT – KORBA [C. G.]
7. **KAMTA PRASAD BHARIYA,**
AGED – 37 YEARS,
S/O SHRI KANHAIYA LAL BHARIYA,
R/O VILLAGE – LOTLOTA,
P. S. & TEHSIL – KATGHORA,
DISTRICT – KORBA [C. G.]

Writ Petition under Article – 226 of the
Constitution of India.



32

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (C) No. 104 of 2015

PETITIONER

Gyaneshwari Singh

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh & others

Shri R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Adhiraj Surana, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA, J.

ORAL ORDER

(22/01/2015)

Petitioner has preferred this writ petition to restrain the respondents No. 6 & 7 from taking benefit admissible to a Scheduled Tribe person because the said respondents do not belong to Scheduled Tribe community.

2. No writ can be issued against an individual. The petitioner, if he so desires, may approach the State Level Caste Scrutiny Committee seeking cancellation of the caste certificate issued to the said respondents. If any such application is filed by the petitioner, the Committee shall consider the same and decide it on merits, as early as possible.

3. Writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge**

K