

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3470 of 2015

- Daksh Kumar Sahu S/o. Shri Chhabilal Sahu Aged About 30 Years
Occupation Service, Posted As Assistant Teacher Panchayat At
Govt. Primary School Amalidih, P. S. Kasdol, Civil & Revenue Distt.
Baloudabajar Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Panchayat And
Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital
Complex New Raipur, Distt.Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Collector Baloudabaj-Bhatapara, Distt. Baloudabajar Bhatapara
(Chhattisgarh)
3. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat Baloudabajar Bhatapara,
Civil & Revenue Distt. Baloudabajar Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)
4. District Education Officer, Baloudabajar, Civil & Revenue Distt.
Baloudabajar Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner

Mr. Sunil Sahu, Advocate

For Respondent/State

Mr. P.K. Bhaduri, Government Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

23.09.2015

Heard.

(2) Challenge in this petition is to the impugned order dated 08.09.2015, whereby, the petitioner, who is working on the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade III, has been rationalized and posted from Govt. Primary Middle School, Amalidih Block Kasdol to Government Primary School, Khamhariya, Block Bhatapara.

(3) At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner would

submit that the petitioner may be permitted to make a representation before the respondent authorities raising his grievances in respect of his posting.

(4) No objection from the other side.

(5) Looking to the aforesaid submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a representation before the respondent authorities within a period of 15 days and thereafter, the competent authorities is directed to consider and decide his representation in accordance with law, as early as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of submission of certified copy of this order.

(6) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law on its own merits.

Sd/-

J u d g e

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Shyna