

C.P. 100%

(2)

102

(6)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 2072 /2014

Single Bench

PETITIONER


Govind Ram @ Govind Ram
Bakawale, S/o late Ramlal, aged
about 44 years, Working as
Physical Training Instructor at
Govt. Higher Secondary School,
Dharampur, Village and Post
Dharampur, Police Station and
Tahsil Pratappur, Civil and Revenue
District Surajpur (C.G.)

P.R.No. 1015 2072/14
Presented by Shri D.K. Mukherjee
dated 21.4.14

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: the Secretary,
Scheduled Caste and Scheduled
Tribe Development Department,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur,
District Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner,
Scheduled Caste and Scheduled
Tribe Development, Post Raipur,
Police Station City Kotwali, District
Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Additional Commissioner /
Enquiry Officer, Scheduled Caste
and Scheduled Tribe Development,
Post Raipur, Police Station City
Kotwali, District Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Assistant Commissioner /
Presenting Officer, Scheduled
Caste and Scheduled Tribe
Development, Bilaspur, Police
Station Civil Lines, District Bilaspur
(C.G.)



21

③

①

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

76

मामला क्रमांक... ७३१ (९) २०१२/१४... सन् २०१

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>S.B.: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PRITINKER DIWAKER</u> <u>25.03.2015</u> Shri Atanu Ghosh, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Shashank Thakur, G.A. for the State. Counsel for the petitioner fairly admits that there exist an alternative remedy for the petitioner to file appeal before respondent No.1 under Rule 23 of the Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1966. He however submits that as the petitioner has approached this Court his appeal would be time barred and therefore direction may be issued to the respondent No.1 to decide the appeal to be preferred by the petitioner ignoring the point of limitation. State counsel has no objection to the above preposition as put forth by the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. In the eventuality of filing appeal by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from today it is expected from the authority concerned to decide the appeal of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, ignoring the point of limitation. No observation on the merits of the case has been made by this Court and the competent authority shall be at liberty to act in accordance with law.	Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge