



(n) CF: 101

Division Bench (2)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Revised petition
MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL CASE No. 122 OF 2009-2013

APPLICANT

Medical Council of India, through Secretary,
Medical Council of India, Pocket-14, Sector-8,
Dwarka Phase-1, New Delhi- 110075

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Dr. Rajnesh Kumar Chakrawarty, S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Chakrawarty, Aged about 30 years, Occupation – Doctor, R/o C-7, Sector I, Devendra Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Mantralaya at DKS Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. The Director, Medical Education, Old Nurses Hostel, DKS Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

4. The Controller, Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board, Raipur, C/168, Tagore Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

5. The Dean, Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

6. Dr. Shweta Agrawal, Student of PG Medicine, Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Rev p 122/13
RA. No.
Presented by Shri *Lekech. Kan Singh*
Dated *27/11/09*



27/11

Revised petition

APPLICATION FOR RECALLING THE INCORRECT STATEMENT
MADE BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT RECORDED IN
PARAGRAPH 15 OF THE ORDER DATED 23/06/2009 IN WRIT
PETITION (C) No. 2758/2009, DR. RAJNESH KUMAR CHAKRAWARTY
VS. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH AND OTHERS



32

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

**Coram: HON'BLE MR.T.P.SHARMA &
HON'BLE MR.C.B.BAJPAI, JJ**

Review Petition No.122 of 2013

Applicant

Medical Council of India

Versus

Respondents

1. Dr.Rajnish Kumar Chakrawarty
2. The State of Chhattisgarh
3. The Director, Medical Education
4. The Controller, Chhattisgarh
Professional Examination Board
5. The Dean, Medical College, Raipur
6. Dr.Shweta Agrawal

(Application for recalling of the order dated 23.6.2009)

Mr.R.S.Marhas, counsel for the applicant.

Ms Pushpa Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.2/State.

ORDER

(16-1-2015)

1. By this review petition the applicant has prayed for review of the order dated 23.6.2009 passed by the Division Bench in Writ Petition (C) No.2758 of 2009 and other connected matters.
2. Registry has pointed out the default.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw this review petition.
4. Consequently, the review petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
T.P. Sharma
Judge

Sd/-
C.B. BAJPAI
Judge

Bo