

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

FIRST APPEAL (M) NO. 99 /2014

Division Bench

APPELLANT

Plaintiff/Wife

Smt. Anuja Bajpai Wife Of

Mr. Puneet Kumar, Aged
about 32 years, Resident Of
Flat 201, Madhurisha
Heights, Near Pawar Petrol
Pump, Risali, Thana Navai,
Bhilai, Civil and Revenue
District Durg (C.G.)

FN No. F.A.M 99/14
Presented by Shri. P. P. P.
Dated 09/10/14

versus

RESPONDENT

Defendant/Husband

Mr. Puneet Kumar Son Of

Mr. Ram Chandra

Dhandhaniya, Aged about

34 years, Permanent

Address 1 : B-501,

Universal Paradise, Nanda

Patkar Road, Vile Parle (E)

Thana Vile Parle, Mumbai

(Civil and Revenue District)

- 40005 + 1 518 419 0422

(C.G.), Address 2 : 15000

Mansions View Dr, Apt #

3304 Conroe, TX (Texas)

USA 77384




Puneet

✓
APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (1) OF FAMILY COURT ACT

②

⑥



145

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

DB: Hon'ble Mr. T.P. Sharma &
Hon'ble Mr. I. S. Uboweja, JJ.

First Appeal (M) No. 99 of 2014

APPELLANT

Smt Anju Bajpai

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

Mr Puneet Kumar

[Appeal under Section 19 (1) of the Family Courts Act, 1984]

Present: Shri Puneet Ruparel, counsel for the appellant.
Shri Vaibhav Shukla, counsel for the respondent.

Order
(8th January, 2015)

Per T. P. Sharma, J.

1. By filing this appeal under Section 19(1) of the Family Courts Act, 1984, the appellant has challenged legality and propriety of the order dated 25.09.2014 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Durg, in unregistered Civil Suit (Smt Anju Bajpai Vs Mr Puneet Kumar), whereby the Family Court has dismissed the suit on the ground of territorial jurisdiction.
2. After some argument learned counsel for the appellant seeks to withdraw the appeal reserving liberty to file duly constituted plaint before the Civil Court having jurisdiction.
3. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn, reserving the aforesaid liberty available under the law.
4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
T.P. Sharma
Judge

Sd/-
Inder Singh Uboweja
Judge