

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPIL No. 40 of 2015**

- Smt. Girja Devi Dansena ,W/o Shri Moti Chand Dansena, aged about 56 Years, Ex- B D C Member, Nawagaon, R/o Village- Badedumarpali, Thana- Kharsiya, Civil and Revenue District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Mahanadi Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Collector, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
3. District Education Officer, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Kharsiya, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
5. Block Education Officer, Kharsiya, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
6. Gram Panchayat Dumarpli, Through its Secretary Gram Panchayat Dumarpli, P. S. Kharsiya, Distt. Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents No.1 to 5:	:	Shri Arun Sao, Deputy Advocate General

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Pritinker Diwaker &
Hon'ble Shri Justice I.S.Uboweja**

Order On Board By P. Diwaker, J.

04/09/2015

According to the petitioner, there is no Government Boys School in village Badedumarpali, but, in the name of the said School, the Sarpanch and the fair price shop keeper are showing the supply of food grains under the mid-day meal scheme and thereby grabbing Government funds.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made representation to the Collector vide Annexure P/4 but till date the same has not been

decided. He submits that at this stage he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file fresh representation, however, direction may be issued to the Collector to decide the same expeditiously.

3. Counsel for the State, on the other hand, submits that let the petitioner makes a fresh representation to the Collector and the same would be considered and decided in accordance with law, if already not decided.

4. Accordingly, petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the aforesaid liberty. Needless to state that if the petitioner files any such representation before the Collector, it is expected from the Collector to look into the matter and act in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not observed anything on merit and the Collector would be at liberty to act strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(P. Diwaker)
Judge

Sd/-
(I.S.Uboweja)
Judge