

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1273 of 2015**

- Bhanuprasad Marvel S/o Shri Gopal Prasad Marvel Aged About 45 years Residence Of Qt. No. S.F. 779, C.S.E.B. Colony Korba (East) District Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, General Administration Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh.
3. Joint Director Accounts Treasury And Pension, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri CJK Rao, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, PL for the State

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra
Order On Board

10/04/2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is preferred by the petitioner, a steno-typist, seeking a direction to the respondents to grant him benefit of revised pay-scale, which the petitioner is claiming on the strength of State Government's circular dated 18-2-1983 & 4-2-1985.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that based on the above circulars, different departments of the State Government have granted

benefit of revised pay-scale of steno-typist, as would be apparent from the order filed collectively as Annexure P-2, therefore, the petitioner is also entitled for similar treatment.

3. Learned State counsel would submit that if the petitioner prefers fresh representation before the competent authority, the said authority shall consider the representation and decide the same, in accordance with law.
4. Considering the entire facts situation of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in the event, the petitioner prefers fresh representation within a period of one month raising his grievance, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same by speaking order at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of representation.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case

JUDGE

ashu