

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCC No. 918 of 2015**

- Vineet Singh S/o Shri Avadhesh Singh, Aged About 28 Years C/o Ganga Ram Sukhija, Balram Talkies Road, Verma Tower Gali Number 01, Jarhabhatha, Police Station Civil Line Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through N. K. Oswal (Secretary), Home Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Ramnivas Yadav, Director General Of Police (D G P) Headquarter (P H Q) Civil Line, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. R. K. Viz, Chairman Sub Inspector Recruitment Committee, Additional Director General Of Police (A D G P) Naxal Operation / Chhattisgarh Arms Force / S. T. F. Police Headquarter Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. M.R. Mandavi, Public Information Officer, Office Of Additional Director General Of Police (A D G P) Naxal Operation / Chhattisgarh Arm Force / S. T. F. Police Headquarter Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Nitish Pandey S/o Shri Nagendra Prasad Pandey, Aged About 25 Years Roll No. 313319, Category - General, R/o Behind Hotel Ajit, Telipara, Police Station City Kotwali, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh) Pin Code 495001 (Selected Candidate For Interview)
6. Parmanand Rathore Aged About 27 Years Roll No. 313237, R/o Rathore Bhawan, Devnandan Nagar, Phase - II, Sarkanda, Police Station Sarkanda, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh) Pin Code 495006 (Selected Candidate For Interview)
7. Ashish Kumar Kotriwar, Aged About 26 Years Roll No. 313270, R/o Ward No. 44, Gupta Daily Needs Street, Sarkanda, Police Station Sarkanda, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh) Pin Code 495006 (Selected Candidate For Interview)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate.
 For Respondents/State: Shri Ajit Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board**

20/11/2015

- (1) Heard on I.A.No.1, an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for restoration (M.C.C.).
- (2) On due consideration, I.A.No.1 is allowed. Delay in filing the restoration application is condoned.
- (3) Counsel for the petitioner is heard on restoration petition.
- (4) The petitioner is seeking restoration of W.P. (S) No. 1476/2013 which was dismissed for want of prosecution vide order dated 07.05.2014.
- (5) On due consideration of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the grounds taken in the restoration petition, I am satisfied that the absence of petitioner's counsel on 07.05.2014 when W.P. (S) No. 1476/2013 was taken-up for hearing was based on *bona fide* grounds.
- (6) M.C.C. No. 918/2015, therefore, is allowed and W.P. (S) No. 1476/2013 is restored to its original number.

Sd/

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge

D/-