

5

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) NO. 658 /2015

PETITIONER

✓ Shyamlal Kurre S/o Late
Pachkaud Kurre, about 46 years,
R/o Village Dotopar, Tahsil
Baloda Bazar, District Baloda
Bazar-Bhathapara (C.G.)

WP (C) 658/15
7/8/15
Presented by Shri. Suresh K. Verma
10/11/15

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- ✓ 1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through: The Secretary,
Panchayat & Rural Development
Department, Mantralaya,
Mahanadi Bhawan New Raipur
(C.G.)
- ✓ 2) The Sarpanch Gram Panchayat
Dotopar, Tahsil Baloda Bazar,
District Baloda Bazar
Bhathapara (C.G.)
- ✓ 3) The Sub Divisional Officer &
Competent Authority, Baloda
Bazar, Tahsil Baloda Bazar,
District Baloda Bazar
Bhathapara (C.G.)
- ✓ 4) Karandas Tandon S/o Hiradas,
aged about 49 years, R/o
Dotopar, Tahsil Baloda Bazar,
District Baloda Bazar
Bhathapara (C.G.)




Be-24

12

2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक...wp (C) 658/15...सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>S.B.: HON'BLE SHRI PRITINKER DIWAKER, J.</u> <u>04.05.2015</u> Shri Suresh Kumar Verma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Rahul Tamaskar, P.L. for the State and its authorities. According to the petitioner, respondent No. 4 - Karandas Tandon has been convicted and sentenced under Section 302/34 of IPC and knowing fully well, State authorities are allowing him to continue on his present place of posting as a <i>Sarpanch</i>. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the purpose of filing this petition would be served if at this stage the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file an appropriate application before the Collector detailing his claim and in turn the Collector may be directed to decide the same. State counsel has no objection to the proposition as put forth by counsel for the petitioner. He however submits that while deciding the representation of the petitioner, the Collector would consider all the aspects of the case. In view of above, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the aforesaid liberty. In the eventuality of petitioner approaching the Collector by filing appropriate application, it is expected from the Collector to pass the appropriate order as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law. It is made clear that this Court has observed nothing on merits	



XL HC--78

21

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ७९ (७) ६५८/१५ सन् २०१

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
Atul	of the case and it would be for the Collector or competent authority to act in accordance with law.	Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge