

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.4041 of 2015**

1. Pramod Kumar Rajput, S/o Shri Gajraj Singh, aged about 35 years, Resident of Quarter No.J Pocket-10 Maroda Bhilai District Durg.
2. Omprakash Dadhsena, S/o Rajesh Kumar Dadhsena, aged about 30 years, Resident of Village Raka, Post Murmunda, Tahsil Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon.
3. Sunita Poddar, D/o Shri M.L. Poddar, aged about 40 years, resident of Konta, Police Station Konta, District Sukma.
4. Babita Ware, D/o Upendra Kumar Ware, aged about 28 years, resident of Block Colony Konta, District Sukma.
5. Nagesh Kumar Das, S/o Late Narayan Das, aged about 29 years, resident of Railway Station road Tokapal Parpa, District Bastar.
6. Vinod Kumar Dewangan, S/o Shri Santprasad Dewangan, aged about 40 years, Resident of Mahadev para Shivmandir Ward Jagdalpur, District Baster.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Education department Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur.
2. The Director, The State Project office of Rajeev Gandhi Shiksha Mission, Raipur.
3. The Collector cum Mission Leader Rajeev Gandhi Shiksha Mission, District Sukma.
4. The District Project Coordinator Rajeev Gandhi Shiksha Mission, District Sukma.
5. The Principal/Superintendent Residential School Rockel, Block Development Office, Chhindgarh, District Sukma.
6. The Principal/Superintendent Residential School Korra, Block Development Office Sukma, District Sukma.
7. The Principal/Superintendent Residential School Polumpalli, Block Development Office Konta, District Sukma
8. The Principal/Superintendent, Residential School Kumharras, Block Development Office Sukma, District Sukma.

9. The Principal/Superintendent, Residential School Tongpal, Block Development Office Chhindgarh, District Sukma.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	: Shri Ravi Maheshwari, Advocate.
For Respondents	: Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

05/11/2015

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have worked on the post of Subject Instructor and honorarium is required to be paid as Rs. 20,000/- per month, but they have not been paid honorarium for the period they have worked and, as such, they may be permitted to make representation before the respondents No. 5 to 9 for redressal of their grievances, to which, State counsel has no objection.

(2) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(3) Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. It is directed that petitioners shall file the representation before respondents No. 5 to 9 within 15 days, who in turn, shall decide the same within further time of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.

(4) Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-