

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1506 of 2015**

Vinod Kumar Sarthi S/o Late Shri Alakh Ram Sarthi Aged About 35 Years Occupation-Un-Employed R/o Rajeev Nagar, Ward No. 35 Near Community Hall Darri, P.S. Darri, Civil & Revenue Distt. Korba, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. The Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited Through Its Managing Director, Daganiya, Raipur, Distt. Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited, Through Its Director General Manager (HRD), Daganiya, Raipur Distt Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Executive Engineer (Sancharan) Division Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Korba West, Distt. Korba (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	: Mr. Sunil Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents	: None present

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****06/11/2015**

1. The matter relates to grant of compassionate appointment to the petitioner after death of his father late Alakhram who was an employee of M.P. State Electricity Board (in short 'MPEB") and died in harness on 20.4.1999.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that number of identical petitions (W.P. No.2952/2006, Om Prakash Thakur Vs. CG State Power Distribution Company Ltd. & others, and other connected petitions) have been decided by this Court on 18.12.2014 and therefore, the

present petition may also be disposed of in the light of the said order.

3. In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file fresh application/representation/reminder along with all the necessary documents before the Manager, HRD, Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd., office at Dangania, Distt.Raipur (CG) giving reference of his earlier applications within two months from today. In the eventuality of filing such application, the respondent/Company may decide the same as per the policy in vogue in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within four months from the date of receipt of application. The respondents while deciding the application would be at liberty to strictly apply the eligibility conditions laid down in the policy dated 30.1.1997.

4. It is made clear that this Court has observed nothing on merits or entitlement of the petitioner for compassionate appointment and it would be for the respondents to act strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

JUDGE

B/-