


1



IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH BILASPUR

Cr. M. P. NO. 367 OF 2015

PETITIONER :

Applicant

Smt. Saraswati Bai, W/o. Bhagirathi

Sahu Aged about- 55 Years, R/o.

Pump House, Maxine Bhata, Post,

P.S. & Tehsil- Korba, Revenue &

Civil District- Korba (C.G.).

P.R. No. 9675/15
Presented by Shri Rishi Sahu
dated 05/5/15

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :

Non-Applicant

Bhagirathi Sahu, S/o Late Panch Ram

Sahu, Aged About- 57 Years, R/o.

Qtr. No. m-70, Katainar, Banki

Mogra Colony, S.E.C.L., P.S. Banki

Mogra, Tehsil- Katghora, Revenue


& Civil District- Korba (C.G.).



**PETITION UNDER SECTION 482 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL
PROCEDURE FOR MAKING THE NECESSARY CORRECTION OF
AMOUNT IN FIGURE OF RS 37562/-, INSTEAD OF RS
33,512/- IN THE PARAGRAPH NO. 2 OF THE ORDER DATED
02/03/2015, PASSED IN CRIMINAL REVISION NO.
162/2015 (ANNEXURE P-1).**

(Signature)

(Signature)



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

22

मामला क्रमांक.....Comp.No.367.....सन् 2015

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</u> <u>14.05.2015</u> Shri Rishi Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Heard. Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that this Court has recorded the salary of respondent as Rs.33,513/-, whereas, the gross salary of respondent is Rs.37,562/-. Taking into consideration the findings recorded by the Court below, this Court while disposing off the petition recorded gross salary of respondent as Rs.33,513/- Therefore, it is not a case of typographical error but based on findings recorded by the Court below. The petition has no merit and is accordingly dismissed.	Sd/- Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge