

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPURMisc. Criminal Case No.4236 of 2015

Ranveer Singh, S/o Late Sevasingh, aged about 45 years, R/o Gandhi Nagar Ward, Jagdalpur, P.S. Bodhghat, Revenue and Civil District Bastar (C.G.)

---- Applicant

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Police Station Bodhghat, District Bastar (C.G.)

---- Non-applicant

For Applicant:	Mr. P.K. Tulsyan, Advocate.
For Non-applicant:	Mr. Ramakant Mishra, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

24/08/2015

1. The accused/applicant has moved this bail application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No.150/2015 (Criminal Case No.985/2015 pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jagdalpur), registered at Police Station: Bodhghat, District Bastar, for the offence punishable under Sections 457, 380 and 411 read with Section 34 of the IPC.
2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the applicant, who is a scrap dealer, along with co-accused persons is said to have purchased stolen articles worth Rs.8,09,380/-.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not committed any offence, he has falsely been implicated in the case and he is in custody since 3-6-2015. The applicant is a scarp dealer and there is no allegation of theft against him, therefore, he may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that stolen articles were sanitary items owned by the Department of PHE, Jagdalpur and the present applicant, who is a scrap dealer, knowing fully well that the said items were stolen articles was found in possession of the said stolen articles and same have also been partly recovered from him.
5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the nature and gravity of offence, facts and circumstances of the case, the manner in which the stolen articles were said to have been purchased by the applicant and consequent recovery of the same, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant. The application is rejected.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge