

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Appeal No. 229 of 2015**

1. Sanjay Kumar Khare S/o Late Shri J.P. Khare, aged about 47 years, Teacher (Panchayat) Govt. Middle School Narayanpur, P.S. & Block Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)
2. Rajkumar Yadav, S/o Late Shri Raja Ram Yadav, aged about 40 years, Teacher (Panchayat) Govt. Middle School Mauhari, P.S. Jhagrakhand, Block Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)
3. Smt. Kaveri Singh W/o Shri Dev Bahadur Singh, aged about 40 years, Teacher (Panchayat) Govt. Middle School Bonji, P.S. & Block Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)
4. Smt. Saroj Yadav, W/o Shri Shankar Yadav, aged about 41 years, Teacher (Panchayat) Govt. Middle School Bonji, P.S. Ledari, Block Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)
5. Smt. Rina Choudhary, W/o Shri Viplav Choudhary, aged about 35 years, Teacher (Panchayat), Govt. Middle School Chanwaridand, P.S. & Block Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)
6. Smt. Majula Dey, W/o Ravishankar Dey, aged about 37 years, Teacher (Panchayat) Govt. Middle School Pendri, P.S. & Block Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)
7. Devendra Kumar Singh S/o Shri Rajkumar Singh, aged about 40 years, Teacher (Panchayat) Govt. Middle School Bichhiyatola, P.S. Kelhari, Block- Manendragarh, Civil & Revenue District Korea (CG)

---- Appellants**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
2. Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat Baikunthpur, District Korea (CG)
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Manendragarh, District Korea (CG)
4. Block Education Officer, Block Manendragarh, District Korea (CG)

---- Respondents

For Appellants	:	Shri A.S. Rajput, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri B. Gopa Kumar, Deputy Advocate General

**HON'BLE SHRI NAVIN SINHA, CHIEF JUSTICE &
HON'BLE SHRI P. SAM KOSHY, J.**

Order On Board

Per NAVIN SINHA, C.J.

27/04/2015

1. After some arguments, leave is sought to withdraw the appeal for pursuing matters departmentally.
2. Nothing prevents the Respondents from considering matters in their administrative domain, notwithstanding our reluctance to entertain the appeal.
3. The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Navin Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE