

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 387 of 2015**

1. Mrs. Anjali Bohra W/o Late Shri Nipun Bohra Aged About 45 years
R/o Q. No. B. 2168, Narmada Vihar NTPC Township, Jamnipali,
District Korba C.G.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. President Child Education Society, A Institution Of The Child
Education Society Registered Sector 21 Noida UP 201301
2. Secretary Child Education Society A Institution Of The Child
Education Society Registered Sector 21, Noida UP
3. Principal Bal Bharti Public School National Super Thermal Power
Project Seepat, P.O. Seepat, District Bilaspur C.G.
4. National Super Thermal Power Project Through Its General Manager
National Super Thermal Power Project Seepat P.O. Seepat District
Bilaspur C.G.

---- Respondent

And**WPS No. 388 of 2015**

1. Arun Kumar Pandit S/o Shri Sahdeo Pandit Aged About 43 years
Working As TGT (Maths), R/o Mig 1/78, Patel Nagar (Near Overhead
Water Tank), Sada Colony, Jamnipali, Distt Korba, Cg

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. President Child Education Society A Institution Of The Child
Education Society (Registered), Sector 21, Noida, UP 201301
2. Secretary, Child Education Society, A Institution Of The Child
Education Society (Registered) Sector -21, Noida, UP

- 25
3. Principal Bal Bharti Public School National Super Thermal Power Project, Seepat, Po Seepat, Distt Bilaspur, Cg
 4. National Super Thermal Power Project Through Its General Manager, National Super Thermal Power Project, Seepat, Po Seepat, Distt Bilaspur, Cg

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	Shri H.S. Ahulwalia, Advocate
For Respondent/State	Shri Shashank Thakur, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

16/07/2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that although arrears of salary/remuneration has been paid to the petitioners, yet interest on the said amount has not been paid. Learned counsel would submit that in a similar case WP (S) No.2358 of 2015 (**Manas Kumar Rath v. President Child Education Society & Others**), wherein this Court had directed the respondents to decide the representation of the said petitioners, they have been paid arrears of salary/remuneration along with interest.
2. In view of the above, both the writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to prefer representations claiming interest on the arrears of salary/remuneration before the respondent No.3, within a

period of one month from today. The said authority shall consider and decide petitioners' representation by a speaking order, at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of the representation.

3. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

Gowri