

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPPIL No. 38 of 2015

1. Smt. Sunita Tikariya D/o Late Shri Santosh Tikariya, Aged About 56 Years R/o Choubey Colony, College Road, Plot No. 3, Ward No. 15, P. S. Saraswati Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Smt. Jaishree Tikariya W/o Shri Bhism Singh Tikariya, Aged About 45 Years R/o Old Meena Bazar, Mungeli Naka, P. S.- Civil Line, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur- (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through : The Principal Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director of Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur- (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Director, State Council of Education Research and Training, Chhattisgarh, Shanker Nagar, Raipur - (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Regional Director, National Council For Teachers Education, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal - (Madhya Pradesh) PIN - 462002.
5. The Collector, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur- (Chhattisgarh)
6. The District Education Officer District- Bilaspur - (Chhattisgarh)
7. The Principal / Secretary, Government Basic Training Institute, Government College of Education Campus, Near Tarbahar Naka, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur- (Chhattisgarh)
8. The Principal, Institute of Advance Studies In Education (IASE) (Old P G B T College), Tarbahar Chowk, P. S. - Tarbahar, Post & District- Bilaspur- (Chhattisgarh)
9. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Bilaspur, Bilaspur Division No.1, Chhattisgarh,

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Narendra Chandel, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Arun Sao, Deputy Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Pritinker Diwaker
Hon'ble Shri Justice Inder Singh Uboweja

Order On Board By P. Diwaker, J.

26/08/2015

Counsel for the petitioner submits that though the matter is listed for orders on defaults, as pointed out by the Office, however, he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file representation before respondent No.1. He further submits that respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation, which may be filed by the petitioners.

2. State Counsel submits that no one would prevent the petitioners from making any representation and they are at liberty to do so.
3. The petitioners are permitted to withdraw the petition.
4. Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
(P.Diwaker)
Judge

Sd/-
(I.S.Uboweja)
Judge

Anjani